### **BBA 46677**

INHIBITION OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS IN ISOLATED SPINACH CHLORO-PLASTS BY INORGANIC PHOSPHATE OR INORGANIC PYROPHOSPHA-TASE IN THE PRESENCE OF PYROPHOSPHATE AND MAGNESIUM IONS

### GERRI LEVINE and J. A. BASSHAM

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory. University of California, Berkeley, Calif. 94720 (U.S.A.)

(Received August 2nd, 1973)

### **SUMMARY**

Inhibition of photosynthesis in isolated spinach chloroplasts by  $P_i$  is decreased by the presence of  $PP_i$  and increased with increasing  $Mg^{2+}$  concentration. Previously reported regulation of this photosynthesis by protein factors from spinach leaves appears to be due mostly to pyrophosphate phosphohydrolase (EC 3.6.1.1) activity which converts  $PP_i$  to  $P_i$  and to the effects of  $PP_i$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  on this pyrophosphatase activity.

## INTRODUCTION

Spinach leaf juice exerts a strong inhibitory effect on the photosynthetic fixation of  $CO_2$  by isolated spinach chloroplasts in a medium containing  $PP_i$  [1]. One of the inhibitory factors was found to be  $Mg^{2+}$ , while another factor was a protein [2, 3]. In the presence of an appropriate amount of  $PP_i$ , a concentration of  $PP_i$  about equal to or slightly less than the  $PP_i$  concentration could stimulate the rate of  $PP_i$  could also stimulate the rate of  $PP_i$  fixation. The presence of these amounts of  $PP_i$  and protein factor together could cause a very large (90 % or more) inhibition of the rate of  $PP_i$  could inhibition ("synergistic inhibition").

Other characteristics of this inhibitory effect are: (1) a requirement for a low level of PP<sub>i</sub>; (2) the inhibition can be overcome by higher levels of PP<sub>i</sub>, (3) either the protein factor or Mg<sup>2+</sup> alone can inhibit when added in higher concentrations, and (4) inhibition by Mg<sup>2+</sup> alone, protein factor alone, or a combination of the two is accompanied by increased movement of intermediate compounds of the reductive pentose phosphate cycle, especially dihydroxyacetone phosphate, out of the chloroplasts into the suspending medium [3].

A partially purified preparation of D-fructose-1,6-disphosphate 1-phosphohydrolase, EC 3.1.3.11 (hexosediphosphatase), was found to reproduce the activity of the protein factor, leading at first to a conclusion that the protein factor might be hexosediphosphatase [2]. However, heat treatment which denatured the protein

and completely destroyed the hexosediphosphatase activity released a factor which still exhibited inhibitory activity [3]. Moreover, the protein factor could be separated from hexosediphosphatase activity by gel filtration [4], and has a lower molecular weight than hexosediphosphatase.

A Mg<sup>2+</sup>-dependent pyrophosphate phosphohydrolase, EC 3.6.1.1 (inorganic pyrophosphatase), was previously isolated from spinach chloroplasts by procedures which follow some of the same steps that are used in the purification of the protein factor [5]. The hexosediphosphatase from chloroplasts which gave the synergistic inhibitory activity reported earlier [2] was isolated by a procedure which also resembled that used in the isolation of inorganic pyrophosphatase [5]. The two enzymatic activities were separated by an ion-exchange chromatography on DEAE, but later work showed this to give incomplete separation. The protein factor (isolated either from previously isolated chloroplasts or from whole leaves) contains considerable pyrophosphatase activity, and significant amounts of P<sub>i</sub> are released by the hydrolysis of PP<sub>i</sub> under the conditions used in our studies. Photosynthesis by isolated chloroplasts is inhibited by such levels of P<sub>i</sub>. The synergistic inhibitory activity we reported appears to be due to the stimulation of pyrophosphatase activity by Mg<sup>2+</sup>, resulting in the release of P<sub>i</sub> into the medium. PP<sub>i</sub> reduces the inhibitory effect of P<sub>i</sub> on chloroplast CO<sub>2</sub> fixation.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

# Isolation of inhibition protein factor

A partially purified preparation of hexosediphosphatase was prepared from spinach leaves as previously reported [2], except that the spinach leaves were ground and used directly (no chloroplast isolation) and the (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> precipitation step was eliminated. Gel filtration on Sephadex G-150 (instead of G-200) resulted in separation of an hexosediphosphatase active fraction from a smaller molecular weight protein fraction, which was inhibitory to CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in isolated chloroplasts. The further purified hexosediphosphatase fraction did not cause such inhibition. The inhibitory protein factor has pyrophosphatase activity.

## Chloroplast photosynthesis

Chloroplasts were prepared from fresh spinach as described earlier [6]. These chloroplasts were suspended in Solution C and placed in small serum-stoppered flasks (total suspension volume, 0.5 ml) on a shaker over an illuminated light table [6]. Varying amounts of protein factors, inorganic pyrophosphatase, or of  $P_i$  were added to flasks as indicated in the tables. After 5 min preillumination, 25  $\mu$ l of solution of NaH<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.15 M, 20 Ci/mole) was added and the chloroplasts were allowed to photosynthesize for 5 min before killing with 4.5 ml methanol.

For determination of acid-stable radioactive compounds formed, an aliquot portion of the killed chloroplast suspension was run onto filter paper, two drops of glacial acetic acid were added, and the wet area of filter paper was dried with a stream of  $N_2$ . After standing several hours, the radioactivity on the paper was measured with a pair of thin-window GM tubes. Chlorophyll content was determined, and  $^{14}CO_2$  fixation was expressed as  $\mu$ moles  $^{14}CO_2$  fixed/mg of chlorophyll per h [6].

Assay for pyrophosphatase activity

Inorganic pyrophosphatase activity was assayed by measuring  $P_i$  released into the reaction mixture [7]. Yeast inorganic pyrophosphatase was purchased from Worthington Biochemical Corp.

Comparison of effect of protein factor and of P<sub>i</sub> on chloroplast photosynthesis

In our usual assay of protein factor activity, the chloroplast preparation is in contact with the protein for a total of 10 min (5 min preillumination and 5 min with  ${\rm H^{14}CO_3}^-$ ) for measurement of fixation. The amounts of protein used in our experiments with protein factor contain sufficient inorganic pyrophosphatase activity to convert 5 mM PP<sub>i</sub> to 10 mM P<sub>i</sub> in the flask by the end of the 10-min period. In other experiments we added amounts of P<sub>i</sub> sufficient to give concentrations ranging from 0.5-10.5 mM to the flasks at the beginning of the preillumination period.

## **RESULTS**

Up to 93 % decrease in the rate of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  uptake is seen with 10.5 mM  $P_i$  and no  $PP_i$  (Table I). These are the concentrations which would result from the complete conversion of 5 mM  $PP_i$  to  $P_i$  (the Solution C contains 0.5 mM  $P_i$ ). Thus the severe inhibition reported to result from addition of protein factor plus  $Mg^{2+}$  can be accounted for if the additions result in substantial hydrolysis of  $PP_i$ . It is noteworthy that, at all levels of  $P_i$ , inhibition is greater with 4 mM  $Mg^{2+}$  than with 1 mM  $Mg^{2+}$ , and is less in the presence of  $PP_i$  than in the absence of  $PP_i$ . Thus there are some effects of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $PP_i$  on the inhibition by  $P_i$  which do not require any exogenous pyrophosphatase activity.

The "synergistic inhibitory activity" seen upon addition of protein factor plus

TABLE I  $EFFECTS\ OF\ P_i, PP_i\ AND\ Mg^{2+}\ ON\ RATE\ OF\ PHOTOSYNTHESIS\ BY\ ISOLATED\ SPINACH\ CHLOROPLASTS$ 

Control rate (1.00 in table) was 170 µmoles of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>/mg chlorophyll per h.

Concentrations*		Relative Rates	
Mg <sup>2 +</sup> (mM)	P <sub>i</sub> (mM)	-PP <sub>i</sub>	+5 mM PP <sub>1</sub>
1.0	0.5	0.82	1.00
1.0	1.5	0.73	0.95
1.0	2.5	0.63	0.87
1.0	5.5	0.35	0.63
1.0	10.5	0.065	0.31
4.0	0.5	0.47	0.96
4.0	1.5	0.32	0.89
4.0	2.5	0.21	0.73
4.0	5.5	0.079	0.49
4.0	10.5	0.027	0.18

<sup>\*</sup> Addition of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0  $\mu$ moles of  $P_1$  were made to the 0.5 ml of Solution C which already contains 0.5 mM  $P_1$ .

#### TABLE II

# EFFECTS OF "PROTEIN FACTOR" AND OF YEAST PYROPHOSPHATASE ON RATE OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS BY ISOLATED SPINACH CHLOROPLASTS

Control rate (1.00 in table) was 125  $\mu$ moles  $^{14}\text{CO}_2/\text{mg}$  chlorophyll per h. Concentration of PP<sub>i</sub> was 5.0 mM. The pyrophosphatase activity of the protein factor was 2.8 units/mg, while that of yeast pyrophosphatase was 600 units/mg (one unit = 1  $\mu$ mole P<sub>1</sub> released/min). Thus 240  $\mu$ g of protein factor would release  $0.24 \times 2.8 \times 10 = 6.7 \ \mu$ moles of P<sub>1</sub> in 10 min (5 min preillumination plus 5 min with H<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) giving 13.4 mM P<sub>1</sub> in the reaction mixture (volume 0.5 ml).

	Relative rates	
	1 mM Mg <sup>2+</sup>	4 mM Mg <sup>2+</sup>
No addition	1.00	0.76
Protein factor (240 µg)	0.83	0.14
Yeast pyrophosphatase $(0.5 \mu g)$	0.93	0.14

 $4 \text{ mM Mg}^{2+}$  can be exactly duplicated by the addition of purified yeast pyrophosphatase (Table II). While the specific activity of pyrophosphatase in the protein factor is low, it is sufficient to convert all of the PP<sub>i</sub> to P<sub>i</sub> in the reaction flasks during 10 min. Little inhibition is seen with 1 mM Mg<sup>2+</sup> and either protein factor or yeast pyrophosphatase.

### DISCUSSION

The "synergistic" inhibition of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in isolated spinach chloroplasts caused by addition of "protein factor" plus 4 mM Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the presence of 5 mM PP<sub>i</sub> is now seen to be the result of pyrophosphatase activity resulting in conversion of 5 mM PP<sub>i</sub> to 10 mM P<sub>i</sub>, which severely inhibits CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. The "synergistic" requirement for Mg<sup>2+</sup> is not required for the P<sub>i</sub> inhibition, since one of the roles of Mg<sup>2+</sup> is to activate the pyrophosphatase [5]. There remains the observation that Mg<sup>2+</sup> alone (with low levels of PP<sub>i</sub>) can stimulate (at low levels) or inhibit (at higher levels) CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by isolated choroplasts. Also, Mg<sup>2+</sup> alone increases the rate of movement of metabolites out of the chloroplasts, while increased PP<sub>i</sub> (with low levels of Mg<sup>2+</sup>)has the opposite effects. These phenomena are perhaps due, in part, to endogenous pyrophosphatase and the resulting P<sub>i</sub> released, and to the effects of Mg<sup>2+</sup> in activating this enzyme. Excess PP<sub>i</sub> could inactivate the enzyme by complexing Mg<sup>2+</sup>.

That we failed to accept this rather simple explanation of the effects earlier was due in part to an early observed severe "synergistic" inhibition with only 1 mM PP<sub>i</sub> plus 1.5 mM Mg<sup>2+</sup> [3], which could only give a maximum of 2 mM P<sub>i</sub>. This level of P<sub>i</sub> does not cause severe inhibition. However, with spinach chloroplasts currently being isolated in this laboratory, severe synergistic inhibition with protein factor or yeast inorganic pyrophosphatase plus 1 mM PP<sub>i</sub> and 1.5 mM Mg<sup>2+</sup> is no longer observed, despite many experiments. Presumably this is yet another example of the variability of isolated spinach chloroplast preparations over the seasons and with differently grown spinach. It is possible that the impure factor used in earlier experiments contained factors other than pyrophosphatase which influence the rate of photosynthesis during the first 5 min. However, the severe inhibitory effect, de-

pendent on  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $PP_i$  concentrations, appears to be due for the most part to the inorganic pyrophosphatase as activated by  $Mg^{2+}$  in the presence of low levels of  $PP_i$ .

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. We wish to thank Professor David Walker, who wrote to us in December 1972 expressing the view, based on work in his laboratory, that the effects we had earlier reported could be due to pyrophosphatase, PP<sub>i</sub> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and to P<sub>i</sub> inhibition, much as we have reported in this paper.

### REFERENCES

- 1 Moore, R. E., Springer-Lederer, H., Ottenheym, H. C. J. and Bassham, J. A. (1969) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 180, 368-376
- 2 Springer-Lederer, H., El Badry, A. M., Ottenheym, H. C. J. and Bassham, J. A. (1969) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 189, 464-467
- 3 Bassham, J. A., El Badry, A. M., Kirk, M. R., Ottenheym, H. C. J. and Springer-Lederer, H. (1970) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 223, 261-274
- 4 Bassham, J. A. (1971) Energy Transduction in Respiration and Photosynthesis (Quagliariello, E., Papa, S. and Rossi, C. S., eds), pp. 869-882, Adriatica Editrice, Bari
- 5 El Badry, A. M. and Bassham, J. A. (1970) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 197, 308-316
- 6 Jensen, R. G. and Bassham, J. A. (1966) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. 56, 1095-1101
- 7 Chen, Jr, P. S., Torebara, T. Y. and Warner, H. (1956) Anal. Chem. 28, 1756-1758